

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/883,671	09/883,671 06/18/2001		Jin-Meng Ho	TI-31701	1028	
23494	23494 7590 01/11/2005				EXAMINER	
	STRUMENTS	JUNTIMA, NITTAYA				
DALLAS,	55474, M/S 3999 FX - 75265	9	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				2663		
				DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			√			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Surrey	09/883,671	HO, JIN-MENG			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nittaya Juntima	2663			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reciation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt orry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I. by statute. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 18 lune 2001				
·	• • •)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	•	· ·	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
-/	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6-7,9-13,16-17,19-20</u> is/are re Claim(s) <u>8,14,15,18 and 21</u> is/are obje Claim(s) are subject to restriction	hdrawn from consideration. ejected. cted to.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on 18 June 2001 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan de correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to arbitration scheme for joining a piconet, classified in class 370, subclass 461.
- II. Claims 6-21, drawn to channel allocation scheme, classified in class 370, subclass 347.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as an arbitration scheme for joining a piconet which does not require the channel allocation scheme of invention II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Ronald Neerings on 1/3/2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention II, claims 6-21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-5 are

Application/Control Number: 09/883,671 Page 3

Art Unit: 2663

withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a

non-elected invention.

Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37

CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See

MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: the claim benefit of a U.S. provisional

application serial number 60/232,757 as stated in page 1 of the specification under 35 U.S.C

§119(e) is not indicated in the oath/declaration filed on 6/18/2001.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 7-8 and 19-21, are objected to because of the following informalities:

- in claims 7 and 20, ll 1, "minilslots" and "comprise" should be changed to

"minislots" and "comprises," respectively;

in claim 8, "said" should be added after "per" to reference the slot recited in claim 7;

in claim 19, Il 3, "slave" should be changed to "slaves;"

in claim 21, ll 4, "minilsots" should be changed to "minislots."

Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

Application/Control Number: 09/883,671 Page 4

Art Unit: 2663

4. Because the IEEE 802.15.1 standard was cited throughout the specification, a copy of the standard with relevant sections is requested as IDS.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chuah (USPN 6,567,416 B1).

Per claim 6, Chuah teaches a method comprising the steps of:

Transmitting a first frame (a downlink frame n+1 in Fig. 5, col. 13, ll 31-38) from the master unit (AP, e.g. AP 236 in Fig. 2, col. 9, ll 43-46), the first frame provides information (transmit permits 656 in Fig. 6D, col. 15, ll 17-38) to one or more slave units (remote nodes #3 and #5, col. 15, ll 17-38) from among the plurality of slave units (remote units 232 in Fig. 2, col. 9, ll 26-30) addressed by the first frame on the maximum transmission time in minislots (minislots are not defined, read on slots specified in each transmit permit) each one of the one or more slave units may transmit in sequence after the reception of the first frame. See further col. 11, ll 66-col. 12, ll 1-15.

Transmitting a second frame (a downlink frame n+2 in Fig. 5, col. 13, 11 31-38) from the master unit (AP, e.g. AP 236 in Fig. 2, col. 9, ll 43-46), the second frame is addressed to a

particular slave unit (a remote node must be included in a transmit permit 656 of a subframe of a downlink frame n+2, col. 14, ll 10-11 and col. 15, ll 17-38) from among the plurality of slave units (remote units 232 in Fig. 2, col. 9, 1l 26-30) and provides information (transmit permits 656 in Fig. 6D of a downlink frame n+2, col. 14, ll 10-11 and col. 15, ll 17-38) to that particular slave unit on the maximum transmission time it has in minislots (minislots are not defined, read on slots specified in the corresponding transmit permit) following reception of the second frame. See further col. 11, ll 66-col. 12, ll 1-15, col. 13, ll 31-38.

Page 5

Claim 19 is a network claim corresponding to method claim 6, and is therefore rejected under the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7, 9-11, 13, 16-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chuah (USPN 6,567,416 B1).

Per claims 7, 10, and 20, Chuah fails to teach that the minislots each comprises a frame transmission time unit which is smaller than the size of a slot used by units compliant with IEEE 802.15.1 standard, and that the MAC protocol is backward compatible with and supports legacy IEEE 802.15.1 compliant slave units using slots as frame transmission time units.

Art Unit: 2663

However, Chuah discloses that any MAC format could be used (col. 14, ll 38-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Chuah to include that the minislots each comprises a frame transmission time unit which is smaller than the size of a slot used by units compliant with IEEE 802.15.1 standard and that the MAC protocol is backward compatible with and supports legacy IEEE 802.15.1 compliant slave units using slots as frame transmission time units as long as it does not yield any unexpected results, since such modification would have involved a mere change in slot size and a different field of use, and is within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Per claim 9, Chuah teaches that the slave units can perform frame transmissions across multiple minislots with no interframe spaces in between the multiple minislots (col. 15, ll 28-33).

Per claim 11, Chuah teaches that the master unit (AP) controls the allocation of minislots to the plurality of slave units (col. 15, ll 33-38).

Per claim 13, Chuah teaches transmitting a data-no-acknowledgment/data-acknowledgment frame (a downlink frame that includes transmit permits 320 and acknowledgements for data sent in the previous uplink frame 340 shown in Fig. 3) having a field (transmit permits 320) that when transmitted by the master unit (AP) provides to the slave unit (remote node, e.g. remote node #5) receiving the transmission a piggybacked permit of the maximum transmission time in minislots (slots) allowed for the slave receiving the frame. See col. 11, ll 66-col. 12, ll 1-15 and col. 15, ll 17-33.

Per claim 16, Chuah teaches a method comprising the steps of:

Allowing one or more slave units (remote nodes #3 and #5) from among the plurality of slave units (remote units 232 in Fig. 2) to use slots (slot #1 through slot #5) as frame

Art Unit: 2663

transmission time units. See col. 15, ll 17-38, and further col. 9, ll 26-30 and 43-46, and col. 13, ll 31-38.

Allowing one or more slave units (contending remote unit(s)) from among the plurality of slave units (remote units 232 in Fig. 2) to use minislots (reservation minislots) which are smaller than the slots as their frame transmission time units. See col. 11, ll 66-col. 12, ll 1-15 and col. 17, ll 14-38.

Chuah does not teach that one or more slave units using slots as frame transmission time units only support the IEEE 802.15.1 standard.

However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Chuah to include that one or more slave units using slots as frame transmission time units only support the IEEE 802.15.1 standard as recited in the claim as long as it does not yield any unexpected results, since such modification would have involved a different field of use, and is within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Per claim 17, Chuah teach that the master unit (AP) transmits a first type of frame (a downlink frame with minislots information for the next uplink frame 350) to a slave unit (a remote unit that receives the broadcast minislot information) among the plurality which provides information to that particular slave unit on the maximum transmission time it has in minislots following reception of the first type of frame. See col. 11, ll 66-col. 12, ll 1-15, and col. 17, ll 19-24 and 35-38.

9. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chuah (USPN 6,567,416 B1) in view of Callaway et al. ("Callaway") (USPN 6,275,500 B1).

Art Unit: 2663

Per claim 12, Chuah does not teach that two of the slave units from among the plurality of slave units can communicate directly with each other.

However, Callaway teaches that two slave units can communicate directly with each other (col. 3, ll 12-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to include that two of the slave units from among the plurality of slave units can communicate directly with each other as recited in the claim. The motivation/suggestion to do so would have been to provide higher system throughput without the intercession of the master as taught by Callaway (col. 3, ll 19-22).

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 8, 14-15, 18, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nittaya Juntima whose telephone number is 571-272-3120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 A.M - 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,671 Page 9

Art Unit: 2663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nittaya Juntima January 5, 2005

M

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Thomas Ti Nifuger